

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/591,733	CAERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EBENEZER SACKY	1624	

All Participants:

(1) EBENEZER SACKY.

(2) LAEANDRO ARECHEDERRA.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2011

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10.00

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

all pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record, Takai et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,583,324

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

applicants attorney, Leandro Arechederra, directed the Examiner's attention to paragraphs [0041 to 0045] of the P.G. pub, which discloses the improvements made in the current invention over previous hydroformylation reactions.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/EBENEZER SACKY/
Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)